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Application Primacy

Applications Justify Network Infrastructure

Application Primacy

The sole and entire point of building a high-performance network infrastructure is to support the distributed applications that need it.

Field of Dreams vs. Killer App Dilemma

Advances in network technology create the “field of dreams” to which new applications are drawn, but are difficult to motivate without the tangible pull of a new “killer application” – which can’t emerge in the absence of an adequate network infrastructure. This chicken-and-egg dilemma governs the supply-and-demand dynamic of high-speed networking.
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Application Characteristics

User Expectations

• Users of applications care only about delay
  - end-to-end through the network
  - application processing

User Expectations of Interapplication Delay

The performance metric of primary importance to users of communicating applications is the total delay in completing the communication, including both the end-to-end delay and any delay introduced by the application itself.
User Expectations of Continuous Media Quality

The performance metric of primary importance to users of continuous media streams is the quality of the stream, such as frame rate and resolution for video; frequency range and distortion for audio.
Application Characteristics

Network Performance Requirements

- Users expectations drive network performance
  - latency: directly from delay requirements
  - bandwidth: part of object transmission delay

High-Speed Applications Need High Bandwidth and Low Latency

Bandwidth and latency are the primary performance metrics governing interapplication delay. The latency requirements of an application depend on the expectations of the user. For data-intensive applications, delay sensitivity drives bandwidth requirements.
Application Characteristics

Bandwidth Requirements

• Individual bandwidth
  – single application instance that needs significant bandwidth
  – example: interactive Web browsing needs \( \sim 1 \text{ Gb/s per user} \)

• Aggregate bandwidth
  – all instances of application use significant fraction bandwidth
    • \( 1/100^{th} \) to \( 1/1000^{th} \)
  – example: interactive Web browsing
  – example: HDTV streams to US households need \( \approx 1.5 \text{ Pb/s} \)
Application Characteristics

Bandwidth Requirements

- “High speed” is relative
  - more difficult to achieve up the protocol stack
  - more difficult to achieve toward the edge of the network
  - increases with time as technology improves

Relative Magnitude of High-Speed

High-speed is a relative term. Application requirements for high speed - alleged or actual - have historically grown to at least the limits of currently attainable link speeds and network capacity.
Application Characteristics

Bandwidth Scaling

- **Bandwidth enhanced**
  - usable at low bandwidth, utility increases with bandwidth
  - example: streaming media

- **Bandwidth challenged**
  - requires significant change to operate at high bandwidth
  - example: distributed computing (IPC and state exchange)

- **Bandwidth enabled**
  - require high bandwidth to operate
  - example: uncompressed video studio production
  - example: distributed scientific visualisation and CAD/CAE
Application Characteristics

Application Partitioning

• Application partitioning
  - critical effect on bandwidth requirements
  - e.g. image processing
    • communicate delta and structures (polygons)
      rather than full bitmaps

Properly Partition Distributed Applications

Carefully determine where to cut applications to distribute across the network. Some “high-speed” applications are simply poorly partitioned low-speed applications.
Application Characteristics

Latency: Best Effort

• Loose $d$ bound
• Relative service
  - policy or fair
• Examples
  - email
  - netnews

Fair Service Among Best-Effort Applications

In the absence of specific policy directives to favor some applications over others, network and end-system resources should be allocated fairly (roughly equally) among competing best-effort applications.
Application Characteristics

Latency: Interactive

- $d$ bound
  - subsecond
  - ideally 100ms
- Examples
  - info access
  - Web browsing

Interactive Response Time

Interactive applications should provide a reasonable time ranging from an ideal of 100 ms to a maximum target of 1 second. Within this range, consistent response is better than high variance.
Application Characteristics

Latency: Interactive Adaptation

- **Structured data**
  - presentation of partial data as it arrives (e.g. text)
  - presentation of smaller chunks in interactive response time
    - e.g. hierarchical structuring

**Structure Data to Meet Interactive Response**

*Bounds*

Structure and migrate data for interactive responses such that initially visible data is present at sufficient resolution within interactive response bounds.
**Application Characteristics**

**Latency: Interactive Adaptation**

- **Successive refinement**
  - presentation of progressively more information over time
  - each user can decide when enough is presented
  - supported by
    - structured data
    - layered and progressive coding

---

*Application Adaptation by Refinement*

Quick and partial information is better than slow and complete information, particularly with refinement over time. Successive refinement can be used to adapt a high-speed application to a low-speed network.
Application Characteristics

Latency: Real Time and Deadline

- **Hard real time**
  - $d$ bound
    - strict
    - small

- **Deadline**
  - $d$ bound
    - relatively strict
    - large; allows adaptation well in advance of the deadline

- **Examples**
  - real time: process control, sensor with no memory, lifeline
  - deadline: remote backup
## Application Flow Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application flow</th>
<th>Individual bandwidth</th>
<th>Start/transient delay</th>
<th>Steady-state delay</th>
<th>Latency budget</th>
<th>Loss tolerance</th>
<th>Adaptability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distributed computing</td>
<td>low–high</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>real-time</td>
<td>1µs–10ms</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process control</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>real-time</td>
<td>1µs–10ms</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haptics</td>
<td>very low</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>real-time</td>
<td>10 ms</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live interactive voice</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>interactive</td>
<td>real-time</td>
<td>30 ms</td>
<td>very low</td>
<td>limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live interactive video</td>
<td>med</td>
<td>interactive</td>
<td>real-time</td>
<td>300 ms</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stored streaming video</td>
<td>mod</td>
<td>interactive</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1–10 s</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stored interactive video</td>
<td>mod</td>
<td>interactive</td>
<td>interactive</td>
<td>100 ms</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web browsing</td>
<td>med–high</td>
<td>interactive</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100 ms–1 s</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information push</td>
<td>low–med</td>
<td>push</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 min–1 d</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telemetry</td>
<td>low–med</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>varies</td>
<td>varies</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote Backup</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>push</td>
<td>deadline</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>push</td>
<td>best effort</td>
<td>1 min–1 hr</td>
<td>very low</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Application Categories

### Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Information Access</th>
<th>Telepresence</th>
<th>Distributed Computing and Storage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application Relationship</td>
<td>client/server</td>
<td>peer-to-peer</td>
<td>varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth symmetry</td>
<td>asymmetric</td>
<td>symmetric</td>
<td>symmetric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer granularity</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>continuous</td>
<td>varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2E Synchronisation</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>real time</td>
<td>varies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Application Categories

Information Access

- Client accessing information from a server
- Asymmetric bandwidth
- Response time is important metric
  - $100 \text{ ms} \leq T_r \leq 1 \text{ s}$ target
- Significant bandwidth requirement
  - individual and aggregate
  - bandwidth challenged for interactive response bounds
Application Categories

Information Access: Bandwidth

Page size [Byte]

Bytes sent in 100 ms
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bandwidth / user [bit/s]

- **Text page**
- **Mixed page**
- **Image**
- **Photo**

- **Modem**
- **ISDN**
- **T-1**
- **T-3**
- **OC-3**
- **OC-12**
- **OC-48**
Application Categories

Information Access: Server Push

- Reduce response time by pushing data to user
  - server knows what user wants
  - data already present when user requests
  - reduces peak bandwidth

Client Pull vs. Server Push

The side that has the best knowledge of the client is the one that should initiate data transfer. Network load can be reduced by moving some of this knowledge to the server.
Application Categories

Information Access: P2P File Sharing

• Early P2P file sharing
  – P2P only used for content discovery (e.g. Guntella)
  – file download conventional client/server (HTTP)

• Emerging P2P file *swarming*

• Reduce response time by pushing data to user
  – users *exchange* pieces of files (e.g. BitTorrent)
  – modifies traditional information access semantics
    • characteristics of telepresence
Application Categories

Telepresence

data streams with embedded synchronisation

- Peer-to-peer exchange of virtual presence
  - example: video conferencing
- Relatively symmetric bandwidth
- Bandwidth requirements
  - teleconferencing
    - aggregate bandwidth enhanced (operates at low speed)
  - applications with specific requirements
    - bandwidth enabled
      - example: telemedicine
Application Categories

Distributed Computing

data exchange and synchronisation

- Distribution of computations beyond a room (>LAN)
- Arbitrary exchange of control, data, state
- Application-dependent partitioning critical

Constraints on Partitioning of Distributed Computations

The ability to efficiently partition a distributed computation may be limited by the physical distribution of scarce computing and storage resources.
Application Categories

Composed Applications

• Complex applications consist of multiple components

• Composition of
  – information access
  – telepresence
  – distributed computing

• Example: distance learning
  – information access for class and reference materials
  – telepresence for student/teacher interaction
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Applications benefit from network feedback

- dynamic controls need path characteristics
  - e.g. loss rate to determine dynamic FEC strength
  - e.g. congestion information to determine transmission rate

Application adaptation techniques involve the application reacting to information about the network, and are dependent on knowledge about the communication path. Some adaptations benefit from active cooperation from the network.
Application Adaptation

User Feedback

- Applications benefit from user feedback
  - exert controls
  - determine tradeoffs
    - e.g. resolution vs. frame rate
    - e.g. bandwidth vs. price

User Feedback to Adaptive Applications

Adaptive applications benefit from user controls: either an explicit feedback loop, or implicit controls based on past behaviour or a user profile.
Application Adaptation

Synergy of Mechanisms

- Multiple adaptations
  - may interfere with one another
    - encoding may eliminate ability to cache
    - multiple encodings may reduce overall performance
  - may render application unusable
Application Adaptation

Latency Reduction

- User perceived delay
  - network latency
  - end system delay
  - application processing delay

Application Latency Principle

Interapplication delays are the sum of all the constituent delays that cannot be parallelised. User-perceived delay is the ultimate measure of the performance of a high-speed system.
Application Adaptation

Mirroring

- Mirroring: locate copy of data close to users
  - location techniques:
    1. manual selection
    2. proxy redirection
    3. anycast
    4. server redirection
    5. active mirroring

Exploit Spatial Locality to Reduce Latency

Reduce the latency of access by locating data physically close to the users and applications that need it.
• **Local caching**: keep copy of data local (or close)
  - assuming it will be used again

**Exploit Temporal Locality to Reduce Latency**

*Reduce the latency of access by retaining data locally (or nearby) that is likely to be referenced in the future.*
Application Adaptation
Network Caching

- **Network caching**
  - cache hierarchy
  - exploit localities of reference
  - automatic load balancing

---

**Balance Network Resources by Hierarchical Caching**

*Balance aggregate network bandwidth against storage required by hierarchical caching.*
Application Adaptation

Caching Dynamic Content

- Dynamic content cannot be easily cached
  - structure into cacheable units
    - isolate static from dynamic content
  - cache program code with data

Structure Data and Migrate Code for Dynamic Content

Structure data from which content is dynamically generated into cacheable units. Cache the programs that generate the content along with the data.
Application Adaptation

Anticipation

- Uses bandwidth to reduce latency
- Prefetch to anticipate future reference
  - exploit structured data (e.g. prefetch down hyperlink tree)
  - use past behaviour to anticipate future reference
- Application prediction to reduce data exchange
- Presend (push) when server knows what client wants
  - user profiles

Use Bandwidth to Reduce Latency

Bandwidth can be utilised to reduce latency by anticipatory prefetching and presending.
Application Adaptation

Datacycle

- **Datacycle**
  - when cycle time less than request/response loop
  - clients wait until desired data cycles past
Application Adaptation

Compressio

- Compression to reduce delay
  - total delay includes
    - transmission delay
    - compression/decompression
  - benefit tradeoff between
    - path bandwidth
    - processing rate and cycles

Compression of Data

Compression of data reduces bandwidth at the expense of the processing complexity and delay of the compression/decompression algorithms.
Latency Reduction

Example 8.1 Interplanetary Web Browsing

- Earth
- Mars
- Jupiter

Server

Cache

Demand cache

Server push

User

d_{est}
Example 8.1 Latency-Aware Web Browsing

- Links colored according to access characteristics
  - **green**: close or fresh cached \( t_r < t_i \) \( a < a_i \)
  - **yellow**: cached but not fresh \( t_r < t_i \) \( a > a_i \)
  - **red**: long latency to receive \( t_r > t_i \) \( a > a_i \)
  - **blue**: no reasonable estimate possible

- Status bar at bottom of browser window
  - connectivity information
  - per URL information on mouse-over
    - freshness information \( a \)
    - estimates on \( t_r \)

[Sterbenz 2002]
Latency Reduction

Example 8.1 Latency-Aware Web Browsing

• Measured to *lighthouse* across known weak link
  - bandwidth
  - latency

• Sampled periodically to adjust response time est.

• Examples:
  - wireless LAN lighthouse at base station
  - ship or plane lighthouse at fixed access nodes
Latency Reduction

Example 8.1 Latency-Aware Web Browsing

- Link color gives high level \{fast, old, slow, unknown\}

- Status bar indicates global and per link details
Latency Reduction

Example 8.1 Latency-Aware Web Browsing

Yahoo! Directory

Categories
- Art History
- Critical Psychology
- Cultural Studies
- Deconstruction (17)
- Film Theory
- Fine Arts
- Gender Studies
- Journals (2)
- Literary Theory
- Marxism
- Philosophy
- Poststructuralism (17)
- Postmodernism (20)
- Semiotics
- Situationism
- Theorists and Critics (124)
- Whiteness Studies (7)

Site Listings
- Searcl at www - a guide to post-millennial paradigms.
- Application of the Critical Theory
- Cultural Theory and Critical Theory
- Deconstruction: A Journal of Postmodern Thought - forum for sociological and philosophical discussions of law, gender, the privileging of subjectivity, forgiveness in the interest of good faith public discourse, intertextuality and our role in the creation of text, and narrative.
- Illuminations - research resource for those interested in the Critical Theory project - specifically the Frankfurt School.
- The Jewish Thinkers - provides an aesthetic for the new millennium.
- Society for Critical Exchange - dedicated to cooperative and collaborative investigation into English Critical Theory.
- Social Network
- Spoon Collective - a group of Net citizens devoted to free and open discussion of philosophical issues.
- University of California at Irvine - Critical Theory Institute
- Wells Library, Leslie Bibliography - from the University of California, Irvine. Contains bibliographies as well as the complete transcriptions.
- Writing in Postmodern Theories - dedicated to a practical articulation, but not necessarily a convergence, of Critical Theory with Electronic Media and Hypertext.

Add this Category to My Yahoo!  Email this Category to a Friend
Latency Reduction

Example 8.1  Latency-Aware Web Browsing

• Click type determines fetch action
  – left click: “normal” behavior
    • get cached if available
    • profile based action
  – right click gives options
    • fetch definitive
      refresh window when definitive copy arrives
    • nonblocking fetch
      definitive copy in new window when available

• View menu selection
  – allows display of unmodified page
Application Adaptation

Bandwidth Improvement

- Bandwidth constrains transmission delay
- Techniques to improve apparent bandwidth
  - mirroring and caching: reduce individual server load
  - anticipation: smooth traffic and reduce peak bandwidth
  - multicast: reduce aggregate bandwidth
  - rate adaptation and layered coding
  - ADU size
    - larger reduces total per ADU processing (e.g. headers)

**Application Bandwidth Principle**

*The bandwidth perceived by the user is the minimum of all bandwidths on a path, including through the application itself.*
Application Adaptation
Bandwidth Improvement

- Techniques to improve apparent bandwidth
  - striping: exploit parallel paths through the network
Application Adaptation

Scaling and Aggregation

• Applications must scale as needed
  – large numbers of users
  – large numbers of paths

• Scale by aggregation

Aggregation of Application Operations

Reduce the cost of all per-connection or per-application operations by aggregation. Support ways to aggregate requests and responses between the application and network, and for the application to control the frequency of response and size of aggregation.
Application Adaptation
Scaling and Aggregation

- Aggregation techniques complicate implementation
  - amortisation of connection state can occur at transport layer
  - trade off application vs. transport layer complexity

Partitioning of Application vs. Protocol Functionality

*Keep the network impact of application design choices in mind. Not all functions are best deployed in an application.*
Application Adaptation

Application Layer Framing

• Application layer framing
  - match application characteristics to network
  - decrease overhead of protocol
    • encapsulation
    • control transfer
    • decapsulation

Application - Network Layer Synergy

Increase performance by matching application characteristics to the network. Use ALF to decrease the overhead of protocol encapsulation, control transfer, and decapsulation.
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Application–Network Interaction
Knobs and Dials

- Knobs influence network
  - may be ganged

- Dials feed information back
  - interrupt
  - polled

- Interfaces should scale

API Scalability Principle

All interfaces between an application and network should scale with respect to bandwidth, latency, and number (nodes, end systems, and users). Parameters should have a scale factor (order of magnitude exponent), a precision factor (multiplier), and a variance.
Application–Network Interaction

Knobs and Dials

- Knobs should correspond to *actual* network actions
- Dials should correspond to *actual* properties…
  …otherwise apps can’t properly interact with network

**Knobs Should Correspond to Network Actions**

A knob should not be built into the network that does not correspond to real controllable action.

**Dials Should Correspond to Observable Properties**

A dial should not be provided that does not correspond to a real observable parameter.
Application–Network Interaction

Dials

- Synchronous (polled) dials
  - application predictable events

- Asynchronous (interrupt-driven) dials
  - unpredictable events
  - highly dynamic network conditions
    - mobility
    - time-varying wireless channels

Support Synchronous and Asynchronous Dials

Network instrumentation should support both asynchronous (interrupt-driven) and synchronous (polled) feedback mechanisms.
Application–Network Interaction

Dials

• Dials may be imprecise
  - imprecise instantaneous values
  - rapidly time-varying values

• Provide a variance to imprecise values
  - allows application to properly interpret dial

Provide Variance Values to Imprecise Values

The quality of feedback depends on the stability and precision of the information provided. If information is approximated or aggregated and the application does not know the degree of imprecision a priori, provide an explicit variance to the value.
Application–Network Interaction

Transparency and Dependence

- Abstraction can be useful
- Hiding can be harmful
- Translucency is better than transparency
  - *functional* transparency
    with necessary performance parameters exposed

**Functional Transparency and Abstraction Should not Result in Hiding**

Layering is designed around abstraction, providing a simpler representation of a complicated interface. Abstraction can obscure a necessary property or parameter, resulting in hiding. Hiding is not a desirable property of layering.
Application–Network Interaction

Transparency and Dependence

- Functional transparency benefits applications
  - location independence
- Applications may benefit from latency awareness
  - location translucency

Location Independence Should Not Hide Latency

Interfaces should be provided to access data that are not dependent on physical location, but latency and other QoS measures should be available to applications that can benefit from this knowledge.
Application–Network Interaction

Transparency and Dependence

- Old applications can’t use new knobs and dials
- Provide default behaviour for legacy applications

Default to Support Legacy Applications

Networks should provide a meaningful degenerate behaviour to support legacy applications, or applications whose capabilities are outside the scope of the system.